P7 70 92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

L.R. 37-81

1891-92

192 .

(L.R.)

File No. 135-91

Serial Nos. 1-15

Later references.

L.R. 147-95

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-15

Major ~~Part~~ B for November 1893.

SUBJECT.

Appeal of Nagappa Chetti & the Patel of the Nyamati Hobli in the Honnali Taluk.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

171

Memo

Submitted —

Answer.

Query

What is the boris of the village - ?

The Collector in para 5 of his letter st that the 'boris' of Jyot including all items is Rs 4000/.

The other papers do not throw any light on this point. The Dy Co will be called on to repor on this point with the requisite details take from the latest accoun

Yes

2. If was left to the Dy Com to decide whether Patels were necess

3. Is the change inconvenient enough to necessitate the appointment of a 2nd Patel -

Memo from Court of Enq No. 505, dt. 28-7-91 is based upon the opinions of Amildars & Collectors that for the present circum- stances of this large

Village A ~~is~~ ~~was~~ decided that there should be 2 Patels, as one Patel would not be able to manage all the work properly.

2

1. What the circumstances were which led the Govt to think that one Patel would not be able to manage all the outer property, is not clear from the order.

2. The Petr in para 5 alleges that village with a revenue of Rs. 8 to 10 thousand are being managed by single Patels in the District.

3 Is it so." This point if necessary will have to be ascertained from Mr Dyson.

Submitted to the Council.

22/2/92.

VC

Submitted -

Further information called for on the points noted above has been received - The Govt Astt. No. 133 dated 4th May 1892 Para 4 (7) to the following effect -

The 2d. Peon of Nyanuthi died on 21st March 1892.

The Petitioner has been ordered to work at sole field until further orders & cannot get a 2d Peon if necessary as qualified persons cannot be selected from the Petitioner Hakidari family.

And that the Petr has been given a chance to prove himself equal to the work of a large fee Town like Nyanuthi

Submitted to the Council

Mr No 25·92 of 1891·92

P.L.

Ningappa

of Nyamati

Shimoga Dt—

The following endorsement will be issued.—

"From the letter received from the Dy Comr of 4th May 1892 No 133/127, it appears that Petitioner alone is now doing the duties of Patail— the Petition therefore calls for no order."

11/7/92

11/7

1

to hold him. He by
Counsel further Commun
his appointment of his
Petitioner Seenappa
in his place of his brother
Krishnappa (deceased)
made by the Guilder.

Before final orders
were passed on the Petition
of the Hakdan Patel Munisappa
the Petitioner Seenappa
appealed to this Court against
the Dy Comrs Order dated
2nd May 1892 appointing
Munisappa sole Patel for
the same being and
this petition Coles sub-
mitted to the Council
with reference to the
Dy Comrs report on the
Petition of Hakdan Patel
Munisappa.

In the matter of the
Petition of Munisappa it
was Ordered that the
petition Called for no
Orders as he alone
was reported to be com

the Order of Patel.
(Instr. of 11.7.92
issued).

No Order has been
passed in the matter
of the petition of Seenappa,
who has sent a reminder
petition

Seenappa Contends-

(1) That the Revenue
Patel belongs to his
family. While the
Police Patel to that
of Ningappa.

(2). The Builder reported
that two Patels were
necessary for the village
which is a large one-

(3) Even during the
Pattka Police settle-
ment of 87-88 it was
decided that two Patels
should be appointed

(4) That the Deputy's order
that if a 2nd Patel be necessary
a qualified person should
be selected from Ningappa's
family is unjust as
the Revenue Patel has
been in the employment
of the family for the past
60 years.

The Petitioner prays for the Cancellation of this Hon'ble Court's Order and for the appointment of the Petitioner as 2nd Patel in the place of his deceased brother Krishnappa.

Submitted for Council for orders

6/8/92

Order passed on 24.8.92 :— Call for a report on the claims of both, after such investigation as may be necessary after hearing the parties.

The Deputy Commissioner in his letter dated 27 June 1893 reports that one Doddavira a predecessor in office claimed in marriage one half of the land now in the Kadiri Hobli measuring in the Register of 1883, that one Venkata-ratia claimed by purchase a quarter of the share of Doddavira

Pattadingeal

X Nadava

Doddavira 1/2

Venkatapaka 1/4

and was joint Chief, that in
1864 Tenskwatawa was succeeded
by his son Tenskwatawa, who
held the office till his death
in 1884, that Kenekuk Kishocoquilla
brother of Tenskwatawa succeeded
to the office, and that Pete is the
brother of Kishocoquilla

The Deputy former states that
a descendant into the entry of forty
dollars was applied for Pete
in order to give him a chance
to draw land - he is said to discharge
the duties of the Agent's farm
servant - whether he ever served
equal to the work or not is
not stated.

Dated 13 Aug 1883

call for a report on the claims of Petitioners, after such investigation as may be necessary together with the Rec.

24/1/92

To
The Deputy Commissioner
Shimoga District

(30)

His letter no: 1036/994 dated 27th January 1893, reporting on the petition of one Siddappa of Nyanti, Honnali Taluk, for the Patelship of Nyanti

With reference to the above the Deputy Commissioner is requested to return the petition of Linjappa who was appointed as the Sole Patel of Nyanti vide his letter dated 27th Sept 1892 No 4530/228, which has been found to be incapable of discharging the duties of the office.

By order

Chiefsecy

draft

I do not think we can entertain the appeal. I send herewith the following Endorsement.

"The appointment of Linjappa as the Patel of the village being in accordance with the order of Govt dated 27th Sept 1892 No 4530/228, it cannot be set aside. The appeal has therefore been dismissed."

11/6/93

Draft sent 6/1/93

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.
No.

References to be marked.

Mysore Govt. C. Press, Bangalore.—9-1-93.—3,000.

Issues.

The following facts are submitted for consideration with reference to the endorsement ordered to be filed by Appellant's Secretary in this case :—

(1) That a performance of the order passed by the Commissioner (Supt.) on the 15th May, the case was posted to the 3rd August, would notice issued & parties on the 1st May.

(2) That the fact of Petitioner having been served, was entitled with reasonable despatch of endorsement in the Petition Form.

4/7/6

Hon, Petitioner must be heard — Fix a day for hearing — I have cancelled the endorsement. 24/6/93

Read 3/7

Subd — the case should be posted for August 3rd on the original notice to the

calling for appeal or the completeness of inscription of decisions, the right sought may be waived.



(32)

Mr No. 587 of 1892-93
92 of 1892-93

Appt

v. Respt

Venkapha of
Nyamati
Honnali Taluq,
Shimoga
represented by

The Decr of 1835 shows that
Hakdar
there were then 3 Patels for the
village named
1 Pullalingaya
2 Rudra, the grandfather of the Respt.
3 Doddaveeraya
} the men are connected with each other.

A Remark is therein entered that
the 3d sold half of his half share
to Venkatappayya, the father
of the appellant. There is also a stamped
deed of sale on stamp paper dated
Khara which shows that
1831-32
Venkatapatia purchased 1/4 share
of the Inami right — which became

of the 1st or 2d above named
is not Known, but up to 1882
Appts father & after him the Brother,
~~Patwari is brother~~, & the Resp.
were doing duties, but in 1884,
the Govt decided that Resp.
~~alone should be~~ on the occurrence
of a vacancy, the following should
be given to the Resp., the members
of the original family, if two
Patwaris were not needed, ~~that~~
In 1884, on the death of Appts
father, ~~appointment was~~ appointed
in 1886, but ~~in~~ 1886 the Govt decided the appointment
to be in rotation if 2 Patwaris are
not needed In 1891, the Dy Com^r
decided that 2 Patwaris were needed
& left ~~Patwaris~~ Appts brother in enjoyment
of the office, but in 1892, ~~he~~ Resp^t
~~Appts brother~~ died & the Dy Com^r
decided that Resp^t alone should be
the sole Patwari of the Village, & that if
a second Patwari was necessary, a
qualified person should be selected
out of the original family, & Resp^t
allowed to employ a guardian. The

Brother was living in other village, another brother of his named Kirotamphal

appeal is against the order

Although appt is not a member of the original family of the Maravedis, the fact that he has acquired the right by purchase 60 years ago, long before the alienation of such offices was prohibited, is undoubtedly, & there is also no doubt that if there is to be only one Patadi for the village Respt has better right to be selected for the first than the appt; & the only question that there is is whether the Armans to be elected are, whether 2 Patadis are required or not. If two Patadis are required, it would not be right to turn out the appt & give the appointment to a relation of the Respt or allow him to employ a gumasta. The policy of Govt has been to have one Patadi for each village, & if the Govt considers that in pursuance of the policy

one Patrol is enough, he may
sole
Continue Respt alone in charge of
the Office, but if two are required,
he shall appoint the appt instead
of one of the family of the Respt

U.C
17/11/93

[illegible]

Ltr

Mr is informed that the Dy
Const has been requested to
appoint them as 2d Patrol if
he considers 2 Patrols are needed
for the Village — If two men are not
needed, Respt alone will
remain in sole charge of the Office
as he belongs to the original family
of the Marcolais.

U.C

[illegible]

Mr appts name are
recorded as Patrol
of the Village.

Returned 17/11

(1.) Received as duly stamped.

(2) Appeal just in time Calculating from the Date of Petition viz 15-12-91.

(3) Order Copy produced

(4) Clause (1) Rule I of the Addl: Rules

Submitted to the Council for Orders

16 Mch 1892

19/2/92

(General Serial No. 32.)

1892

No. 95

Name of Petitioner. Singapa, Indian Petitioner

Village. Nyamti

Taluk. Honnali

District. Shimoga

Date of Arzi. 5/12/91

Date of Receipt. 15-12-91

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Two.

ABSTRACT.

Petitioner Thimmappa undertenant of Patel of Nyamti village. [illegible] 2281 [illegible] dead leaving [illegible] Patel Venkatappa. [illegible] Patel continued [illegible] Venkatappa [illegible] Patel in [illegible] Comm[issioner] Venkatappa in contravention [illegible] Petitioner [illegible] Comm[issioner] [illegible] mised to get [illegible]

1 Had it in the beginning?
2. Sum left in his hands inside the Patel
3. Is the charge enumerating [illegible] Patel?

Mch 20/2

Agl

20/2

Queries upon the file [illegible]

The next question to be considered is, whether it is necessary to examine the Patil in person. Village and Taluka Kaul and the Superintendent of Police are of opinion that it is necessary. Since the appointment of Krishnappa the 2nd Patil. It has been noticed that since receiving a large sum, the Patil has become facilitated. Venkappa 2nd Patil, Krishnappa are relations, Ninganna and Krishnappa the complainant make allegations against all round. Amildar must issue necessary orders and see that they are carried out. In Krishnappa's case the matter must be enquired, as the Patil case may be dispensed according to the circumstances.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO. 2592
DATE 7-1-92

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ।

ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਹੁੰਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੀ ਵਰਤੋਂ ਨਾਲ ਸਾਰੇ ਸੰਸਾਰ ਵਿਚ ਇਕ ਨਵੀਂ ਕ੍ਰਾਂਤੀ ਆਈ ਹੈ।

ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਵਿਅਕਤੀ ਨੂੰ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਸਮੇਂ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਥਾਂ ਤੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਕਾਰਨ ਕਰਕੇ ਕਿਸੇ ਵੀ ਤਰ੍ਹਾਂ ਦੀ ਸਜ਼ਾ ਦੇਣ ਦਾ ਅਧਿਕਾਰ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੈ।

Under Secretary

By Order

Secretary

No. 74 K.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 6572 dated 15th December 1891 received at the Dewan's Office from Rangappa Shekarappa Patel of Hyanthi Honnali Taluk,

is forwarded, together with its two enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga for disposal with a request that he will state the circumstances that led him to think that one Patel for the Hyanthi village would not be able to manage all duties properly and that another if so be that village with a revenue of 9 to 10 thousand Rs revenue managed by a single Patel will the duty as alleged by Petitioner

By Order,

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
23/2/1892

In the same No. 6572 dt. 15/12/91 from the same 153 dt. 23/12/91

Enclosures 4/3/92

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892

OFFICE OF THE DEWAN OF MYSORE
1523
7 - MAY 92
P. 6.

From The Deputy Commissioner Shimoga District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 4/5 } May/92
Despatched 5/5
Received 6/5

Enclosures No. 3

General No. 133
No. 127

Forwards report on the petition of Nijappa, Hakdar Patil of Nyamti, Honnali Taluk

Peon Disp. Slip 6/5

Recis Continued - References, Instrs. Submitted to His Council.

11
12/5/92

11
7-5-92

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Shimoga District.

No 133/127 En 3

Shimoga 4th May 1892.
desp. 5th

(15)

To. The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your office
Memo No. 74 dated 23d. February last
forwarding a petition with enclosures
(herewith returned) from Ningappa
Hakdar Patil of Nyamti, Honnali Taluk
for report, I have the honor to state
that there may be villages in the
District with large berij under Single
Patels, though the petitioner has not
named any particular village, but a
dispute of the kind existing at Nyamti
does not appear to exist anywhere else.

2. Fortunately for the petitioner,
Kristappa the 2d. Patel of Nyamti died
on the 21st March last and I have
issued the following order in the case.

Petitioner should work as sole Patil till further orders, and if a 2d Patil is necessary a qualified person should be selected from the original Amildar's family.

If no such person is available the Petitioner should entertain a gumasta to help him.

3. The Amildar reported again that a 2d Patil was absolutely necessary and that the Petitioner could not get on single-handed. The Amildar took upon himself to appoint the deceased 2d Patil's brother Sinappa to act this being the collection season.

4. I have however cancelled this appointment made by the Amildar without orders

5. The order I have issued is in conformity with the Government Proceedings No. $\frac{4550}{228}$ of the 27th September 1882.

6. Nyamuj is a large town and was once a Taluk Kasaba. Petitioner, I think can scarcely manage the whole work himself. I have however given him a chance to shew that he is equal to the work required of him. If he is found unable to manage the work himself, a 2d. Patil or a Gumastā will be appointed as detailed in para 2 above.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Abdul Rahiman,
Deputy Commissioner,
Shimoga District

(General Serial No. 32.)

189 / 17

No. 587

Name of Petitioner. Sinappa, Inamdar & late Krishnappa, Kulkarni, Patel,

Village. Nyamti

Taluk. Harnali

District. Shimoga

Date of Arzi. 23. 5. 92

Date of Receipt. 23. 5. 92

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. One

Letter No. 133/27 dated 4th may 92 from the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga was submitted to the Councillors on the 14th may.

Submitted in continuation.

23/May/92

ABSTRACT.

As the Govt. Commissioner has decided that there should only one patel, instead of two as hitherto, for the Nyamti village, and is said to have reported the matter to Govt, petitioner submits as follows:—

A member of petitioner's family and another person by name were the Kulkarnis of Nyamti village,

the office of the Inspector concerned
attached thereto have been
in the enjoyment of the families
of the said Petts. the members
of Petts family were Petts
and those of Singapuri
family, Police Petts.

b/ Petts Petitioned the
Municipality petitioned the
Govt in 1882, the it was
decided
decided in Progs. No. 1455 3/11/82
that there shd be only one, Petts
for Nyanti and at Petts
family shd be appointed
of the Petts office, in Govt
Progs Cons. No. 1104 3/11/82
it was ordered that after
the determination of the question
whether or not two Petts were
necessary for the village,
the removal or otherwise of Petts
family from the Petts office
shd be considered. There-
upon in his letter No. 2002
3/14/91 the Asst Commr of

necessary for the village, and
Peter's younger brother
in office and another during
the same time as those of
the office. The said Christ-
mapp is dead and left
no male issue. He has left
an only daughter, and heiress
to the estate who is only 1 year
old. She is to have been appo-
inted in place of her
brother, who died
leaving a son and daughter
at that time. The
taken from him at
alone. The appointing
ing to have been the appo-
inted. Peter's property
taken from him at the
Table: —

1. St. Jan, Register of 1835
Petition of Peter, Andrew &
Ford de Vries all sons of Chr
Mapp and Peter's wife
Lo [illegible]

(a) Satyá Sodhá served
there in the office, & then
was transferred by. His
family in 886, and owing
to this he & members of
Peter's family were per-
forming duties of the
office. Sodhá Jerich
has left no descendants.
(b). Putta Singiah de-
ceased to never did duty.
(c) represent Peter Sin-
gaiah & descendant
of Rudriah.

2. in 1813 Peter Putta Sin-
giah petitioned the Raja
to suspect that Peter
enjoyed of Peter family
by gaiers time, and it
was informed that Peter
was last prior to 882, that
as per record it was only
to be that Putta Singiah & Peter
Peare the Peter written
date fixed in Commr's Ltr

2 copies sent in Ltr

orders appointed Accused Krishnaji duties & to duties. This is irregular your order is therefore been Cancelled.

If two Patils are necessary for the village, you should enquire yourself whether there is any one in the original Kulkarni family qualified for the office & report the matter

Accused Krishnaji & his family are not Kulkarni, no member of that family can be nominated. They should be informed of this.

Until further orders the present Patil Singaji alone do the duties of the village. There is no other Kulkarni in his family and he could not do all the duties of the Office himself, would have to nominate Gumasta. After enquiry

No 1895 of 1895 of the Collector of Ahmednagar (Subject No 3 Cy 27.7.44).

3. In accordance with your Proposal No 322 of 1895 dated 27th July stated in the return that 2 Patils were necessary for Nyanti village. There is no reason now for changing that view. The Mamlatdar was requested to report whether the village required 2 Patils. The village is a large one and has been shown particulars:—

Houses	600
Population	3,000
Cattle	4,000
Agriculture	Rs 5,000
Land Revenue	3,000

There has been Cholera in the village for more than 10 years and at times it takes off 30 to 40 persons. It does also settle that there should be 2 Patils. In far smaller villages like Kergaon Nyanti

Explanation of Annals
Part III. Sec. I.

4. Sir Vajee Supdu Shekun
No 3 of 1844, etc. etc. etc.
Singing, the members of Peti
family are the hereditary
Peti priests and the
office is not to be con-
ferred on others.

5. The 2d Comm. has not
but it more than one Peti
found necessary, another one
should be elected in the family
of Mukti. Under singa-
ra. This is unjust & must
is taken into account that
Peti family enjoyed the of-
fice in more than 1 year.

6. It is stated in Govt. Proc.
of 1842 that Peti family began
to do duties of Peti office after
1844 and that when the office
was vacant, the Mukti Peti
to the Mukti Peti. This
is against previous evide-
ence records. The Register

of 1855 Nagar Supdt. and
16 Oct 1855 Nagar Supdt. etc.
claim.

7. The members of Peti family
have not been in enjoy-
ment of duties of Peti
duties. Peti office. Inte-
rium appointment
not for 12 years, but it is stated
that they were
Similar case.

Similar in Rajpipla.

Similar in Mahuva.

8. In Peti singha
longs to different family
the has no right to claim
the Peti but Peti family

The members of singha
family have all along been
Peti Peti, and have
Peti family, etc. etc.

9. In order to be
the Mukti is produced.

Dr. Sir, No. [illegible] 1855.

In the Talaja case, the
settlement you have

Council
Case

A.S. No 57

INVESTIGATION OFFICE
PETITION
RECEIVED 587/R
DATE 23-5-92

ಸಂಗಡಚೆಕ್ಕಿ ಬ್ಯಂದ್ಯಾಯಿ ಧಾರಿ —

1882ನೆ ಯಿಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನ್ಯಾಯವಿತ್ತಿ
ಶಿಕಾರಿಪುರದ ಪ್ಯಾಮಣಿಕ್ಷಿಪ್ತಾ ಇವರು ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರು
ಅಗೇರಿ ಭೀಮಪ್ಪನಾಯಕರಿಂದ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ 27-9-1882ನೆ
ನಂಬರು 44ನೆ — ನೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಿಂಗಡಿ ಆದ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ
228
ಯಿಂಸ್ಕಾವಣೆಕ್ಕೆ ವಜ್ಜ ಪಾಂಚಿಲ ಯಿರಬೇಕೆಂದೂ
ನಿಳ್ಳ ಯೀವಾಗ್ಯಾವಾಗ ನಂಮ್ಮವನಿಕ್ಕ ನಡವರ
ವಿಡಿಲಿಕೆಪ್ಪಿ ಸಬೇಕೆಂದೂ ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಆಗಿದಾಗ್ಯೆ
1886ನೆ ಯಿಸವಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 22ನೆ ಕ್ಕಾ ಅಪ್ಪಾನ
ಕೆಳಿಂಪಿಸನಂಬರು 70ನೆ ನೆ ಕಾರಂರ್ವಯಿಂಟಿಸಪ್ಪ ಫಿ
ರ್ಯಾದಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಇಸ್ಕಾವಣೆಕ್ಕೆ ಯಿರುವಂಚನಕಟ್ಟಿ
ಲಿರುವ ಯಿರವನ್ನ ಪ್ರತ್ಯಕ್ಷ ಅವಳ್ಳಿಗೆ ವ್ಯಂಡೆ ಯಿಲ್ಲವೆನ್ನಿ
ಯುಂಬುವದನ್ನಾನಿಳ್ಳ ಯಿಸ್ಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ್ಯಾವನಂವಿ
ವಮನೆಕ್ಕ ನಡವರಿಗೆ ಇದ್ದಹಿಟ್ಟಿಲಿ ವಿಚ್ಚಿಗಡುಪಾ
ಕೆಲವಡೂ ಗಿರ್ಯಾವದು ಯಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ ಯಿಳಿಸಿಕೊಂಡೂ
ಆರ್ಡರ್ಯಾಗಿಕ್ಕೆ ಅಪ್ರಕಾರ ವುಂಡ್ಯಾವ
ದರಲ್ಲಿ ಶಿವವೆಟ್ಟಿಗಾ ಇಸ್ಸಿಕ್ಕುಡಿವಿಕೆಯಾಯಿ
ಯೆಂಬೆಕಾಂಟೆಲಬರವರು 14-ಕ-1887ನೆ
ವಂಬರು ವಂದನೆಕ್ಕುಸ್ಟಾ ವಾಂಡಿಯಿಯರು
ವಡಗಲ್ಲಿ ಯೀಯ್ಕಾವಣೆಕ್ಕೆ ಇದ್ದ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಿಕ್ಕೆ

ಹೊಡ್ಡವಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಯಜಮಿಕ್ಕೆ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗಾಂಕ್ಕೆ ನಿಂದು ಕ್ಕ
ದಾಗವಕಟ್ಟಿಲೆಗಾಗಿ ಯಿದ್ದಗಳು ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಂದಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರವಿದೆ

1 ಹೊಡ್ಡವಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಯನವಿಂತಿನವೈಕ್ಕಿಕಾ
ಲಾಲಚಾಡಕ್ಕಿ ನನ್ನವಳಿಗೆ ನದವಕ್ಕೆ
1832ನೆ ಯಿಸವಿ ವಿಗದಿಂವಕ್ಕೆ ಗಳಕ್ಕೆ
ಕ್ಕು ಯವಾಗಿ ಬಂಗಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಲೀಯಿಕ್ಕಿ
ನವೀಲಿನನ್ನ ಸಿಂಗ್ಕಡಿಯವರು ವ
ಚಿಲಿಕೆಲಸಿನೆಗಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದರು
ಲು ಹೊಡ್ಡವಿಲ್ಲೆಯ ಯನಿಂಗ್ಕಡಿಯವರು
ಯಾಂಡಿಕೆಲಸಿನೆಗಾಡುವದಿಲ್ಲಾ
ಅವರನಿಂಗ್ಕಡಿಯವರು ಯಾರೂ ಇಲ್ಲಾ

2 ಮೊಡ್ಡಲ್ಲಾಂಪ್ಲೆಯ ಯನಿಂಗ್ಕಡಿಯ
ವರು ಯಾಂಡಿಕೆಲಸಿನೆಗಾಡು
ವದಿಲ್ಲಾ

3 ಯಬ್ಬೆಯ ಯನಿಂಗ್ಕೆಯವನೆಗೆ
ಶಾಂಚ್ಲಿಕೆಲಸಿನೆಗಾಡುವಲ್ಲಿ
ಪ್ಪನಾಗಿಗ್ಗದ್ದಾನೆ ——

2 ನಂಮೇಡಿಂಗ್ಕಡಿಯವರಿಗೆ ಬಂದಯಿವಕಟ್ಟಿಲೆಯನ್ನು —
ಹೊಡ್ಡಿಸಿ ಹೊಡ್ಡಚಿಕ್ಕಿಂದುವಕಟ್ಟಿಲೆಮೊಡ್ಡಲ್ಲಾಂಪ್ಲೆಯ
ಮಂಗ್ಕ 1843ನೆಯಿಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿಕ್ಕಿವಿಕ್ಕಿ
ಆಳಂಡಾಂಡು ತಾಗಿಲ್ಲಬದವನ್ನು ಕೀಡಾನಿಪ್ಪೆಲನಂಬಿಯ
ಗಿತಿಕ್ಕೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಹೊಡ್ಡದಕ್ಕೆ ಯಜಮಾಂಡಿಕ್ಕೆ ವಿಗದಿಂವಕ್ಕೆ
ಯಾವಿನಿ ಕ್ಕೆಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸ್ಥಾನವು ಮಾಡಿತಿಲ್ಲಿಂದಿ ಕಾಟ

60 వరుషాల క్రిందట ఈ ప్రాంతాలలో కాలాన్ని బట్టి పంటలు పండించుకొనుటకు వీలుగా నీటి సౌకర్యం కలిగించడానికి ఈ చెరువును తవ్వించిన వారు ఎవరో తెలియదు. ఈ చెరువు కట్టకు ఉత్తర దిక్కున ఒక పెద్ద మర్రిచెట్టు ఉన్నది. ఈ చెరువు కట్టకు ఉత్తర దిక్కున ఒక పెద్ద మర్రిచెట్టు ఉన్నది. ఈ చెరువు కట్టకు ఉత్తర దిక్కున ఒక పెద్ద మర్రిచెట్టు ఉన్నది.

6 కాలాంతరంలో సా.శ. 1882 వ సంవత్సరంలో ఈ చెరువు శిథిలావస్థలో ఉన్నట్లు గ్రామస్థులు గమనించారు. 1844 వ సంవత్సరంలో ఉన్నట్లుగానే ఈ చెరువు కట్టకు ఉత్తర దిక్కున ఒక పెద్ద మర్రిచెట్టు ఉన్నది. ఈ చెరువు కట్టకు ఉత్తర దిక్కున ఒక పెద్ద మర్రిచెట్టు ఉన్నది. ఈ చెరువు కట్టకు ఉత్తర దిక్కున ఒక పెద్ద మర్రిచెట్టు ఉన్నది.

1835 వ సంవత్సరంలో ఈ చెరువు కట్టకు ఉత్తర దిక్కున ఒక పెద్ద మర్రిచెట్టు ఉన్నది. ఈ చెరువు కట్టకు ఉత్తర దిక్కున ఒక పెద్ద మర్రిచెట్టు ఉన్నది. ఈ చెరువు కట్టకు ఉత్తర దిక్కున ఒక పెద్ద మర్రిచెట్టు ఉన్నది.

ಅನುಬಂಧ

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಾಸಂ/ಕಾಯಾ/ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ/ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ/2014-15/

ದಿನಾಂಕ: 1/1/1844

ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ

ಕಾಸಂ/ಕಾಯಾ/ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ/ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ/2014-15/

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಾಸಂ/ಕಾಯಾ/ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ/ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ/2014-15/

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಕಾಸಂ/ಕಾಯಾ/ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ/ಅಧಿಸೂಚನೆ/2014-15/

(ಕ)

ಗುರುವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ವಿದ್ಯೆಯಿಲ್ಲ ವಿದ್ಯೆಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಜ್ಞಾನವಿಲ್ಲ
ಜ್ಞಾನವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಮುಕ್ತಿಯಿಲ್ಲ ಮುಕ್ತಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಬ್ರಹ್ಮವಿಲ್ಲ
ಬ್ರಹ್ಮವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಸೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಲ್ಲ ಸೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಜಗವಿಲ್ಲ
ಜಗವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ನೀನಿಲ್ಲ ನೀನಿಲ್ಲದೆ ನಾನಿಲ್ಲ
ನಾನಿಲ್ಲದೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಗುರುಗಳಿಲ್ಲ ಗುರುಗಳಿಲ್ಲದೆ
ಈ ಕೈಲ್ಲಾಸವಿಲ್ಲ ಕೈಲ್ಲಾಸವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಈ
ಕೆಲ್ಲಾ ನೋಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು ಯಿರುವ
ದಲ್ಲದೆ ನಂಬಿ ನಿಂದ್ರೆ ಗುರುವಲ್ಲ
ಲಾಭವಿಲ್ಲ ನಿಂದಲ್ಲಿ ತಲ್ಲಿ
ಬಾಳಿನ ವಿಮೋಚನೆಯಂದ್ರೆ ದಕೆಲ್ಲ
ಳ್ಳ ಕೈರುಲಿನವ್ರು ಪಿರಿಪ್ಪವರೆಲ್ಲ
ಕೆ ಕೆಲ್ಲಾ ನೋಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬಂದು
ಯಿರೆವೆ

ಉಪರಿಪ್ಪಿದಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಗಳ
ಲ್ಲಿ ಬಾನಿವೆಳಗ್ಗೆ (೫) ಮಣಿಗೆ ಎಳುವುದು
ಗಿ ನಳಮುಂದ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸ್ನಾನ
ಮಾಡಿಲಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪೂರ್ವ
ನನ್ನೆರಂಮಣಿ ಇಷ್ಟೆಪ್ಪಿನಮಿನವರಿಗೆ
ಲಿನನೆ ಮಿಗಿಲಾಗಿ ಆಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ
ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕು
ಇವನಮಂದ ಮಾಡಿಸ್ಥಿ

ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದರು.

ಈ ಸಂದರ್ಭದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಸಿಇಓ ಶ್ರೀ

ಮಾತನಾಡಿ, ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿರುವ

ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ನೀಡಿದರು.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪಂಚಾಯತ್ ಉಪ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿ

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಾಹಕ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿ ಶ್ರೀ

ಸೇರಿದಂತೆ 1391 ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿದ್ದರು.

ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿದ ವಿಷಯಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

(ಶ್ರೀ)

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 1372 of 1891 92

Appellant.	Vs.	Respondent.
Puttaswamy of Nyamati Shimoga District		

Decision. 21-7-92

The following endorsement will be issued:—

"From the letter received from the Deputy Commr Dated 4th June 1892 No 133/127, it appears that Petitioner alone is now having the duties of Patel. The Petition therefore calls for no orders."

"By order"

18/VII

Chief Secretary.

No. 2778 / Petn 70—92 Dt 18/7/92

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commr Shimoga for info with reference to the letter above referred to

"By order"

18/VII

Chief Secretary

S.V.

Sent issued with enclosures 21/7

(General Serial No. 30.) File 70

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Dy. Comm.r,
Shimoga Distt.

Dated 18th
Despatched 21st } July 1892.
Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 978
~~P.C.~~ No. 70

Informing that the petn
of Ringappa of Ryamatti calls
for no orders as he alone is
now doing the duties of Patel.

References to be marked.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
18 July 1892.

Endorsement granted to Tirugappa of Siganhalli, Shimoga District
in reply to his — Petition Registered No. 1572 dated 15 December 91

Petitioner is informed that from the letter received from the Deputy Commr & 4th Dewan's Lr No 153/127, it appears that Petitioner alone is now doing the duties of Patail. His petition therefore calls for no order.

2 The enc: are returned.

187

"By Order,"

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—5-7-92—1000.

Issued 21/7

50

Pet
3/94

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 3[illegible]
dated [illegible] received at the
Dewan's Office from Sivappa of
Nyamti, Honali Taluq, pra
ying that he be appointed Patel
of the said village in place of his
deceased father Virannappa
are
forwarded, together with ~~its~~ one
enclosures, to the Dy. Comm[r], Shi
moga Dt., for enquiry and
report with a report
on the claims of the petitioner, after
such investigation as may
be necessary.

2. The petition is returned

By Order,

Draft
(Illegible)

Chief Secretary.

BANGALORE,
31/8/1892

3-9-92

Endorsed
3/9/92

1892-93

Town of Spring Lake Commissioners
Special Meeting
of the Chairman of the Board
of Health held September 1892
at 8 P.M.

Present: Com: Bass and Colby

This Special Meeting was called by
the Chairman for the purpose of
hearing a petition of Citizens of
the Village of Spring Lake to
have the Board of Health
declare a nuisance the
Pernicious Practice of
the use and sale of Oleomargarine
Butterine or any substitute for
Butter, and to prohibit the same
from being sold in the Village
of Spring Lake.

Was then read the
Petition and Resolution
and Notice of Hearing
copy of Section 1 of Act
No 257 of 1891
and Notice of Hearing
dated 18th Sept.

2. The Petition with signatures
thereto attached was then read

Feb 7th 1892

(General Serial No. 30.) 321

1892 Feb 150

From The Deputy Commissioner Kimberley

To The Chief Secretary to the Administrator of Bechuanaland

Dated 5th November 1891

Despatched 17th

Received

Enclosures No. 3 & Petition

General No. 522

No. 599

With reference to the Petition of Bechuanaland Protectorate in the case of Fairfield, Hamilton & Co. and Sinaffa and Sinaffa Petition praying a decision of the Court in appeal from a decision of the Court dated 5th November 1891 in the matter of the claim of Fairfield, Hamilton & Co. to 70 Square miles of land —

Petition Clerk 19/8/92

Petition of Fairfield, Hamilton & Co. and Sinaffa and Sinaffa 5/8/92

In drawing attention to this office Despatch No 314 dated 3rd inst. has the honor to observe that a report on the Claims of the Petitioners Sinaffa after such investigation as may be necessary, has not been submitted. The reference solicited in connection with the Petition of Fairfield Hamilton & Co and does not specify what the claims of Sinaffa are. The report required should be submitted at an early date.

The Petitioners returned herewith should be properly arranged in chronological order & renumbered with a complete Print

BO
M
Mallaby

22/9/92

(General Serial No. 20.)

26

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Hy: Consul,
Bangalore &c.

Dated 14 Nov

Despatched 15 Nov/92

Received

Enclosures No. one file

General No. 5359
Pol: F No. 70-92

Calling for a report on the Petition of Sheikh Ismail Sahib.

References to be marked.

From the Dewan No 700/671 dy 22-10-92

— D — No 1036/994 dy 29-11-92

To The Dy: Comm: Shimoga &c.

54

—"—

His docket No 225 of 5th Sept
599 /
last submitting the case
in the case of Sinappa &c Vs Govt
&c. and others.

—"—

On drawing attention
to this office Memo. No. 514.
3rd Oct last he is now to submit
the report on the claims
of Sri Sinappa, after such
investigation as may be ne-
cessary, has not been sub-
mitted it will. The reference
solicited in the above docket
is in connection with the
petition of Sri Sinappa
and does not explain what
the claims of Sinappa are.
The report required should
be submitted at an early date.
2. The new papers returned
herewith should be properly
arranged in chronological
order

order and resubmitted
with a complete draft.

By order

Draft

Chief Secy

S. 1893/92 (2)

From The Deputy Commissioner
Ahmednagar

To The Chief Secretary to the Govt
of Bombay

Dated 22nd October 1892

c/o - En -

His Letter No 3309 dated 14th
October 1892 calling for a report on the
claims of Pethkar Kennatjee.

In reference to the above I
have the honor to request that the
petition of Kennatjee Jotha and
with this Office Endt No 575
dated 8th ultimo may be re-
turned for reference --

Abdul Rahman
Deputy Commissioner

MK

Pet. 570 of 1892

(General Serial No. 30)

4186.

1892 OCT 23

From

To

Dated 22nd October 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 700

No. 671

With reference to this Docket
No 5359 dated 14th Inst
I am to request to return the
Petition of Meenakshi
Peter B. Perera

Return the Petn
(91 & 587)
as requested
RO
21/10/92

Letter sent No 6556 dated
24/10/92

25/10/92

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

Dated 4

Despatched 8

Received

Enclosures No. written 2 ~~Cooly~~

General No. 6356
Fort. No. 70-92

T.

The Hy. Comm.,
Chicago &c.

Sir. Your petition

by

As requested in your
last the Secretary
again directs your
attention to the
necessity of your
complying with
the requirements from
time to time
made & given.
The report called for March 1st
be submitted as early as
possible

Yours truly

Agent

Chicago Ill 4/11/92
for Chief Insp.

W.

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892. 53 8417.
2-3-93

From

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 27th February 1893

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 1036
No. 994

No 1036 Enclosure
994 Cavite

Navy Yard
Mare Island Office
Mare Island California
March 1893.

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Bureau of Navigation
Washington D.C.

Sir:

I have to inform you that Locker No 535 on the 14th instant was found to have been broken into and the following articles stolen therefrom viz: One Chronometer Receiver, one Binnacle, one Boat Compass, and one Sounding Machine.

On the discovery of the loss of the above articles, Watchmen were placed on board the vessels in the vicinity, and the Yard Police, Officers, and Watchmen at the offices of the Yard were notified, and all the Yard Police, Officers, and Watchmen were ordered to be on the lookout for the stolen articles, while one Watchman was detailed to keep a close watch on the Locker, a number of the Officers of the Yard

[illegible]

3. The purchase of the share of Venkatramana, brother of Venkatpatiah, from Agasale Sadasivaiya and his son Nallappa is supported by a document dated Khara 1753 of the Srivaishnava Shaka - Venkatpatiah, the Joint - Patel, was succeeded by his son Venkatgiriappa in November 1864 and held the office till his death in 1884, when his brother Krishnappa, who died in March last, succeeded him -

4. Petitioner Venkappa was not appointed in succession to his brother Krishnappa, for it was ordered in Government Proceedings No 4553/228 dated 29th September 1882 that on the occurrence of a vacancy the office should be restored to the original family and that in accordance with this order Nagappa was appointed the sole Patel -

5 - It was further ordered in Government Proceedings No 107

dated 21 Sept. 1886 that the
2d Petit should be withdrawn
if as a matter of fact no Servia
Rebel was required for the village,
a point which was left to the
Defts. discretion, free of
decision.

6. Although considered a 2d
Rebel necessary for security, a
large force would soon attack
Ambla, & lead to have the orders
in contravention of H.M. Government
forces on 1st 1882 giving a claim
to the garbia as referred in this
office of 133 dated 4th May last.
(12)

7. The delivery of Servaglia to
settlers with the records arrange-
ments generally as directed, as
well as the documents filed this
day in Court are satisfactorily
completed so far.

I have the honour to be
Your most obedient servant

No.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

189

Endorsement granted to to Linappa of Nagandi, Honnali Taluk, Shimoga District

in reply to his Petition Registered Nos 537 & 92 dated 2nd & 6th Feb. 92 appealing against the Shimoga Deputy Commr's order regarding the Patel of Nagandi.

Petitioner is informed that the appointment of Linappa as the Patel of the village being in accordance with the orders of Government, dated 27th September 1892, No 4057/228, it cannot be set aside. The appeal has therefore been dismissed.

Draft (Council order)

"By Order"

14/6

Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

Before the Government of *His Highness* the Maharaja of Mysore.

Petition
~~Appeal~~ No. 587 of 1891-92
" 92 of 1892-93

Appellant. Vs. Respondent.

Sannappa of
Nyamati,
Honnali Taluk
represented by
Mr. L. Srinivasa Iyengar
Advocate

Lingappa
represented by
Mr. C. Krishnamurti
Advocate

Decision 21-11-93

The Register of 1335 shows that there were then 3 Hakidar Patels for the village named:-

(1) Puttalingaya
(2) Rudra, grand-father of the Respondent
(3) Sadda Veerayya

These men are connected with each

A remark is therein entered that the 3rd Sold. half of his half share to Venkatapatayya, the father of the appellant.

There is also a deed of sale on stamp paper

dated Khara 1831-32 which shows that Venkatapatayya purchased 1/4th share of the Mirasi right. What became of the 1st and 3rd above named is not known, but up to 1882 Appellant's father and after him his brother and the Respondent were doing duties, but in 1332, the Government directed that on the occurrence of a vacancy, the full right should be given to the Respondent, the member of the original family, if two Patails were not needed. In 1334, on the death of Appellant's brother who was then holding office

66

office, another brother of his named Krishnappa was appointed, but in 1886, the Government directed the appointment to be withdrawn if 2 Potails are not needed. In 1891, the Deputy Commissioner decided that 2 Patails were needed and left appellant's brother Krishnappa in enjoyment of the office, but in 1892, he died, and the Deputy Commissioner decided that Respondent alone should be the sole Potail of the village, and that if a second Potail was necessary, [illegible]

Struck off doubt.

person should be selected out of the original family, or the Respondent allowed to employ a gumasta. The appeal is against this order.

2. Although appellant is not a member of the original family of the Mirasidars, the fact that his father acquired the right by purchase 60 years ago, long before the alienation of such offices was prohibited, is undoubted, and there is also no doubt that if there is to be only one Patail for the village, Respondent has better right to be selected for the post than the appellant.

The only question therefore that remains to be settled is, whether 2 Patails are required or not. If two Patails are needed, it would not be right to turn out the appellant and give the appointment to a relation of the Respondent or allow him to employ a gumasta. The policy of Government has been to have only one Patail for each village, and if, in pursuance of that policy, the Deputy Commissioner thinks that one Patail is enough, he may continue Respondent alone in sole charge of the Office, but if two are required,

should appoint the
appellant instead of one
of the family of the Respondent

10

By order

Redraft C.S.
27 VIII 93

8698 / Chief Secretary
Gen. Exp. - 2 / 27 VIII 93

Copy to the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District with the words received with his No. 1036/994 27-I-93

"By order"
28/II
for Chief Secy:

Dr BR

Dispatched.
Init. R 2/III 93

No. 587 92

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT,

23rd Nov 1893

Endorsement granted to Seenappa of Iryanti, Hemali Jaleli, represented by Mr L. Srinivasaiya

reply to his Petitions Registered Nos 587 & 92 dated 23rd Nov & 4th Dec appealing against the decision Commissioner rejecting Petitioner's claim to the Patelli of ~~the village~~ Nagenahalli

Petitioner is informed that the Deputy Commissioner has been requested to appoint him as 2nd Potail, if he considers 2 Potails are needed for the village. If two men are not needed, Respondent alone will remain in sole charge of the Office, as he belongs to the original family of the Hiriyakidars

2. The enc: is returned

"By Order"

Receipt

Chief Secretary

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.